

Missoula Board of County Commissioners

PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES COVER SHEET



THURSDAY, APRIL 8, 2021 – 2 PM

Virtual Meeting – Microsoft Teams

[Click here to view the meeting recording.](#)

Time stamps (in green) correspond to meeting recording above. Please click to the time stamps listed to view a particular item in the meeting.

ATTENDANCE:

Commissioners Present:

Acting Chair Slotnick
Commissioner Vero

Staff Present:

Violet Plummer, Administrative Assistant, Commissioners' Office
Amanda Schrantz, Administrative Assistant, Community Justice Department
Amanda Henthorne, Budget Analyst, Finance
Tim Worley, Senior Planner, Community and Planning Services
Matt Heimel, Planner, Community and Planning Services
Casey Gannon, Strategic Initiatives Manager, Communications and Projects
Jenny Daniel, Planner, Community and Planning Services
John Hart, Civil Deputy Attorney, Attorney's Office
Kate Devino, Nutrition Services Supervisor, Health Nutrition
Shantelle Gaynor, Director of Relationship Violence Services, Community Justice Department
John Stegmaier, Parks and Trails Coordinator, Community and Planning Services
Jamar Galbreath, Equity Coordinator, Commissioners' Office

1. **CALL TO ORDER** *[Time stamp – 00:00]*
2. **LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT** *[Time Stamp -- 00:08]*
Missoula County acknowledges that this event takes place in the aboriginal territories of the Salish and Kalispel people.
3. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** *[Time Stamp -- 00:24]*
4. **PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENTS** *[Time Stamp – 00:40]*
 - a. [Proclamation: Fair Housing Month](#)
5. **PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA** *[Time Stamp -- 02:46]*
[None]
6. [CURRENT CLAIMS LIST](#) *[Time Stamp -- 03:00]*

Claims received as of March 24, 2021 to March 31, 2021 by the Commissioners' Office total \$2,456,955.01.

7. HEARINGS

a. Budget Amendments *[Time Stamp -- 03:20]*

Amanda Henthorne, Budget Analyst, Finance

- i. **Health Department – Montana Department of Health and Human Services (MTDPHHS) Funds for Diabetes Prevention**
- ii. **Community Justice Department – Vicarious Trauma Response**
- iii. **Community Justice Department – Safety and Justice Challenge Grant**
- iv. **Parks, Trails and Open Lands – West Riverside Community Park**
- v. **Parks, Trails and Open Lands – Ninemile Creek Restoration**
- vi. **Office of Emergency Management/9-1-1 – Radio System**

Commissioner Vero made the motion to approve the budget amendments i-vi.

Commissioner Slotnick seconded.

[Motion passed 2-0.]

[Resolution 2021-053. B: 1053 P: 713] – Funds for Diabetes Prevention

[Resolution 2021-054. B: 1053 P: 714] – Vicarious Trauma Response

[Resolution 2021-055. B: 1053 P: 711] – Safety and Justice Challenge Grant

[Resolution 2021-056. B: 1053 P: 712] – West Riverside Community Park

[Resolution 2021-057. B: 1053 P: 715] – Ninemile Creek Restoration

[Resolution 2021-058. B: 1053 P: 716] – Radio System

b. Skjelset Boundary Line Relocation and Family Transfer *[Time Stamp -- 09:52]*

Matt Heimel, Planner, Community and Planning Services

Commissioner Vero made the motion (1) that the request be Douglas G. Skjelset to utilize the boundary line relocation exemption, as presented in the exemption application and affidavit, be approved, and (2) that the request by Skjelset to utilize the family transfer exemption to create and transfer one parcel to his spouse Jean A. Skjelset, as presented in the exemption application and affidavit, be approved.

Commissioner Slotnick seconded.

[Motion passed 2-0.]

[Letter 2021-097 Mailed to: Douglas J. Skjelset.]

8. OTHER BUSINESS *[Time Stamp -- 35:19]*

[None]

9. ADJOURN

Acting Chair Slotnick – Called the meeting to adjourn at 2:36 p.m.

BCC Public Meeting April 8, 2021 – Transcription

This transcription was produced by transcription software. There may be discrepancies between this transcription and meeting dialogue. You can view the recording of the meeting on the county's YouTube channel: <https://www.youtube.com/c/missoulacounty> or contact the commissioners' office to obtain a copy.

[00:00:02] Thursday, April eight, twenty twenty one. We'll begin with a land acknowledgement Missoula County would like to acknowledge that this event takes place in the Aboriginal territories of the Salish and Kalispell people with that, would you all join me in the pledge?

[00:00:24] Pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands one nation under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all.

[00:00:38] Thank you. So we do have a public announcement. We have a proclamation. This is fair. Housing Month one. How do you feel about reading the proclamation?

[00:00:51] Okey dokey.

[00:00:52] Take your time

[00:00:54] Like I have it here. Uh-huh. Ok. April is a big month.

[00:01:02] It's also. Poetry Month, I was going to say it's Poetry Month two,

[00:01:10] Whereas Title seven of the Civil Rights Act, commonly known as the Federal Fair Housing Act, which guarantees fair housing for all residents of the United States, was signed into law in April nineteen sixty eight. And whereas the month of April is nationally recognized as Fair Housing Month and a time to reflect on and reaffirm our national commitment to the ideal, that fair housing opportunity is available to everyone in the United States without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, sex, familial status and disability. And whereas Missoula County recognizes that all residents are free to purchase rent finance and ensure their homes without regard to their sex, marital status, race, creed, religion, color, age, familial status, physical or mental disability, or national origin. And whereas Missoula County welcomes this opportunity to celebrate the fifty third anniversary of the adoption of the Fair Housing Act and to reaffirm our commitment to the principle of fair housing for all by strengthening efforts that address discrimination in our communities. Supporting programs that will educate the public concerning their rights to equal housing opportunity and assure every person of their right to live free of the fear of housing discrimination. Now, therefore, we Dave and stormier Josh Slotnick and Juanita Varo, Missoula County Commissioners and the state of Montana hereby proclaim the month of April twenty twenty one as Fair Housing Month in Missoula County. We encourage all citizens to recognize the celebration for the purpose of improving the quality of life for all residents.

[00:02:41] Thanks a lot. Juan.

[00:02:43] Thank you.

[00:02:45] Ok, do we have any public comment on items not on the agenda? Oh, OK. Seeing no, no, I'll let you all know about our current claims, these are claims received as received of March twenty fourth, twenty twenty one to March 31st, twenty twenty one by the Commissioner's Office. These claims total two million four hundred and fifty six thousand nine hundred and fifty five dollars and one cent. So today we have two hearings. The first hearing is around budget amendments and that means our very own Amanda Thorne will be letting us know what's what. So Amanda, please take it away.

[00:03:34] Oh, Amanda, you're muted.

[00:03:39] Thank you, Commissioner Slotnick. I have a six budget amendments today to get through, so I'll just start with the first one and we'll go from there. The First Budget Amendment I have is for the Missoula City County Health Department, and they received additional funds from the Montana Department of Health and Human Services for Diabetes Prevention. These grant funds total seven thousand and the expenditures will also total seven thousand, making this budget neutral. There are no matching funds required, and you approved the contract for this grant previously at an administrative meeting. And the second one for today.

[00:04:26] Take them all

[00:04:28] We can, take them all or do them one at a time, whatever you prefer.

[00:04:31] I think take them all because we've we've heard these out of admin. So yeah, OK.

[00:04:36] All right. The second one is another grant receipt edits for the Community Justice Department, and they received grant funding from the Office of Victims of Crime International Association of Chiefs of Police for Vicarious Trauma Response Initiatives, so this will provide funding for technical assistance to increase resilience amongst just response member organizations with training and support for care providers and first responders. This grant is for thirty two thousand four hundred and nine dollars, and all of the expenditures will be covered by the revenue and you have approved the contract for this grant at your February 18th administrative meeting. The third one that we have today is a continuation of the Safety and Justice Challenge grant funding from the MacArthur Foundation. This will go to further the criminal justice reform efforts in Missoula County and the total amount for this grant that we'll be receiving in revenue is three hundred and fifty thousand dollars, and all of the expenditures related to this grant will also be covered by those revenues. And I believe that you signed the contract for this on April 1st. The forced budget amendment that we have today is to amend the budget to include revenues and expenditures for the management of West Riverside Community Park, and it's expected that the operations and revenues for this particular activity will be neutral for fiscal year twenty twenty one. That may change going forward, depending on park operations. So previously, park management was handled by a parks, trails and open lands partner agency in the community.

[00:06:42] That arrangement is concluded, so no parks, trails and open land staff will be managing the park. This budget amendment gives them account coding based on estimated revenues and expenditures to operate the park for the remainder of this fiscal year. And the total operations for that one is just over \$7000 for the remainder of the fiscal year. The fifth budget amendment that we have today is again for a parks, trails and open lands. They received grant funding from the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation for the Nine Mile Restoration Partnership with Trout Unlimited. You signed the contract for these funds at an administrative meeting on April 1st. Twenty Twenty One, and it is again revenue expenditure neutral with forty thousand dollars of revenue and forty thousand dollars of expenditures related to this grant. And finally, the last budget amendment that I have for today is. For an evaluation of the public safety radio system and doing a gap analysis and in conjunction with the city of Missoula, the consultant will be providing that service for forty nine thousand five hundred and seventy two dollars. Fifty percent of the revenue required to fund that will come from the city of Missoula, and the remaining 50 percent, or twenty four thousand seven hundred eighty six dollars, will come from Missoula County and that will come from cash. That's in the nine one one trust that's used to support nine one one operations. Those are the six budget amendments for today. Are there any questions we do?

[00:08:38] But yeah, we've been through these. Sorry, go ahead. One Sorry,

[00:08:41] I didn't say that I was looking at folks on the line if anyone wants to speak to them.

[00:08:45] But yeah.

[00:08:51] It looks all muted to me, so I'm going to motion that we approve these budget amendments one, three six, does that work?

[00:09:01] That works great. Yeah, I would add something is I just want to kind of shout out to our great staff. If you and if you were following all this, all these revenue neutral things means that our staff sought out grants and got outside funding to do a lot of this good work. And that's what revenue neutral means we had. We got the money somewhere else or we have the money left over from something else. So this isn't a lot of tax raising going on here. This is good use of funds. Thanks for shepherding all of this along. Amanda.

[00:09:34] Absolutely. Glad to help.

[00:09:37] All right. Did you make a motion one?

[00:09:40] I made a motion, yes.

[00:09:41] Great. All in favor, I. All right. All right. Thanks, Amanda.

[00:09:46] Thank you. Have a great afternoon.

[00:09:48] Thanks.

[00:09:51] To our next, next up is a boundary line, relocation and family transfer, and Matt Hymel will be leading the show.

[00:10:01] You have four new commissioners, Matt Hymel, here with Community Planning Services. I will share my screen here to give us location of this proposed subdivision exemption today. Campaigns things here. You never want to see the screen. Yeah. Ok, so here's the map showing the location for the property. So this is a subdivision exemption proposal from dog shells. It it's a boundary line, relocation and family transfer proposal. So two subdivision exemptions with this. The claimant, as I said, is a property owner. Douglas G sells it and representative as Matthew Jacobson with Imette Corp. The property is located along Boondock Road, Boondock Land. Excuse me, that's about 800 feet northeast of tural road, or point three miles southwest of Interstate 90 across the Corkboard River. So there are two properties involved. One is Parcel C or Track C, a certificate of survey one two four eight. The second parcel is on the lower left hand side, separated by that green dash line, and that is a formal railroad right of way that is now a track of record referenced in Book six forty nine page five sixty five claimants proposal is essentially to relocate these two boundaries and also to create one new parcel as a family transfer to his spouse. There are, I said, three existing residences on these two tracks at sixty five fifty sixty eight ninety and sixty nine twenty lane two tracks on Parcel C or excuse me, two houses on Parcel C of one house on the abandoned railroad right of way. Here's a map of showing a flood plain but boundary. There is only a one hundred year floodplain on the east portion of the property or one of the houses that is located. And when I go to the proposed track layout later, you'll see that the applicant has demonstrated that there is area for a house replacement outside of the property should that become necessary.

[00:12:20] That's the property, I mean, outside of the flood

[00:12:22] Plain, right? That's right, I'm sorry, I meant there's a replacement area outside of the floodplain, should that be necessary for new construction? The house? So this slide is showing the property overlain with a growth policy designations, these are from the 2002 Regional Land Use Guide. You can see both the property is actually designated with the park in open space classification, and that recommends land uses such as open spaces, parks, trails and cemeteries. That also includes flood plains and steep slopes and areas of higher population density, and then a small portion of the north, west and western properties.

[00:13:04] They can see us.

[00:13:05] Yes, the western part is residential.

[00:13:11] I guess they can. Yes.

[00:13:13] You might want to mute, though, until Matthew is done.

[00:13:20] Audio and video is all good on your end. So this next slide shows that even though the property is not or exceeding the recommended density from a growth policy and it's not really in line with recommended land uses from those classifications, it is within another classification, which is the activity circle, so areas that have a recommended concentration of new development. It's actually an overlapping two circles one for her or the other for the Donovan Group Activity Center. So here is a snapshot of the original Parcels sheet from the subdivision exemption affidavit, this just showing those parcels see and the abandoned railroad right of way that I mentioned earlier. And then here on this slide, you can see the proposed layout, the existing parcels on the right hand side. For comparison, the proposed layout is on the left hand side and see the older black lines indicate boundary line relocation. So the abandoned railroad right of way parcel would be track see three reduced about one acre tract see two or the claimant and his spouse currently reside be about six and a half acres six point forty seven. The family transfer would result in the creation and transfer of tracts see one in the northwest portion of the property. That would be about three point one five acres to be transferred to the to the claimants spouse. And that concludes a general presentation on it, I can I can move on to questions for the claimant that we have our standard questions for family transfer claimants unless there are any questions before moving on.

[00:15:21] So Matt, I'm the floodplain map is is the house in in C one? Is that also in the floodplain? One hundred year floodplain

[00:15:30] Only the house on proposed C two? Ok, so this blue line here is the floodplain boundary. And then there are two green spots showing a possible house replacement outside of

[00:15:42] The floodplain and the house on C two is is where the claimants had been living had been residing. Is that correct?

[00:15:50] My knowledge, yes. But if anything different, I'll let the claimant correct me.

[00:15:55] And that was built in in the early nineties and the flood plain map came out like twelve years later, is that right?

[00:16:04] It's built in. Ninety four. Yes.

[00:16:06] Ok, so. So it wasn't intentionally built on the floodplain, I guess, is what I'm getting at the flood plain map. The latest one is after the house was built, correct?

[00:16:19] Yeah, that's my

[00:16:21] I'm sorry. I mean,

[00:16:23] Talking over each other.

[00:16:24] Sorry.

[00:16:27] Yeah. Matt, why don't we go ahead with the questions for the claimant? And then and then one that can ask questions?

[00:16:33] Sure. So, Doug, I have five questions feel free to unmute self. And so the first question, or five is did you buy the property with the intent of dividing it?

[00:16:47] No, I didn't.

[00:16:50] Thank you. The second question, do you your excuse me, do you or your transferees intend to transfer the property within the next year? No. The third question. Have you talked to anyone about the county about going through subdivision review?

[00:17:08] No, I talked to only person I talked to about that was Matt Jacobson. I talked to you briefly to when we had a meeting.

[00:17:17] That's that's right, and also how the county has no record of a subdivision inquiry on this property. So the fourth question will the property be developed?

[00:17:27] No the property will stay the same, all the all the residences, etc. will stay exactly as they are.

[00:17:37] Ok, thank you. And the oh, did you have more to add?

[00:17:44] No, you just cut out there for a second. Okay.

[00:17:47] So my fifth and last question is, will the recipient of the property be residing on the property quite soon?

[00:17:57] I'm sure. If you've looked at what I've submitted in my letter about what my situation is over there, it's clear to me that my wife can no longer navigate the stairs, ect, at our house on track C2. We do have a fellow living C1 now. His name is Harold Coward and he has Parkinson's, and he's had cancer surgery recently, and he's also severely arthritic and has had a hip replaced. But he he intends to leave very soon as soon as he leaves. It's our intention to move over there. Oh, and live over there, I'm set up for another echocardiogram at St. Pat's on the 9th of June. I'm facing what they call a Tavor heart procedure to replace an aortic valve. So I'm really worried that I'll not be available to take care of this. My wife and this family, and I'd like to set them up with something that they can. They can, they can function with. Oh. I mean, may I go on a little bit, I mean about that, or is that irrelevant to this situation?

[00:19:21] No, you would. You want to speak to it? You're welcome to. And then after you're done, if you if your representative would like to speak, then maybe one that will have some questions for you.

[00:19:31] Ok. Yes, sir. I if you look at my letter of March 20 first, which is part of the record, you'll see that basically I have a daughter that lives in this house on the railroad right away. This practice, he won a C three. I'm sorry. She and she and her husband are both alcoholics. I let them rent the property at a very four bedroom house with two baths. I built a section on the house for my granddaughter, so she had her separate bedroom and her separate bathroom. They rent it for three fifty a month. I have. Oh. I have been over there many times trying to take care of things. And when I'm concerned about is if something happens to me and my wife has no place to go because she can't function as house on C two, she can't run that. That place has. Besides everything I mentioned at the landscape yard, the garden rock planter walls, a greenhouse three car garage shop, four outbuildings and 30 to birdhouses sheep. She's going to have, you know, she's not going to be able to handle it, so she needs a one bedroom, two bedroom house, and that's what the C one is. And she can move there if I succumb to this. She can go right there, and that's fine. If I don't, I'm going to be in this position, probably where I'm going to have to be. I won't be able to manage this anymore. I'm 76 and pretty poor health now, so I need to have a place to go that's close to the grandkids. And that's that would be that would b c one C two is within rifle range. C one is a stone's throw from their house. So another thing that concerns me is my granddaughter, who is the only adult in the house household. Is taking a job up at the Tamarack. She's well knows a lot of people up there as friends, and she's going to be working up there this summer. So my grandson is. Eleven almost 12. He's going to be by himself. So. He needs us around.

[00:22:03] Sorry, he's Doug's a little choked up, sorry.

[00:22:06] Understood. Understood. Take your time, Doug.

[00:22:08] Oh, we got plenty of time. Please take your time.

[00:22:12] I'm trying to do a state planning here. You can probably guess.

[00:22:15] Yes, sir.

[00:22:16] My wife, Nana, I'm papa. Watches out for these kids like a hawk. I got Lauren back in high school at Hellgate after she started skipping school. I'm friends with her counselor. I've had people come over and try to get them and, you know, programs I've offered to pay for programs, alcohol programs for both of them. But that doesn't seem to be working very well. Up till now, I've been very frightened of alienating Jack or Emily if I do. They'd take

the kids away from us. So right now, they can't do that because of their ages. They wouldn't permit it. So I just. Would like to get this done for the benefit of my family. I can't think of them a more. Necessary family transfer than this, in my my estimation, I realize everybody feels unique. But just to emphasize the fact, and I don't like belittling my daughter or son in law, I love my daughter and I tolerate my son in law. But I think I have to do it in order to explain my situation. But it's still bad. I took my grandson out, bought him a bunch of groceries. He wanted the little, little pizzas and stuff. Doritos, all the junk food, mainly. And he wanted some orange juice. So he came back to the house and he's walking by my daughter on the way to the house, and he says he didn't think I heard this. This orange juice is for me, mama, I don't want you to use it for your vodka. That's why they need to be monitored. Are. I know they love them dearly, but they're hopeless alcoholics. And that's that's all I have to say I would really appreciate before you renounce what you want to do, that you look on my letter of March 20. Second. And my dear wife's letter of March 19 concerning. Our situation. There's no chance that Elmont Jean, my wife, will leave that area. Her friends in her church are all there and. The only time she goes anywhere is to visit her sisters in Idaho, so. So I'm sorry, I'm sorry.

[00:24:49] Well, thank you, thank you for sharing that, sir. Yeah. Does that your representative want to speak to this at all?

[00:24:59] I did, and I said, you know, my piece with Matt just kind of explained it with the family transfer. And when Doug came to me, it was originally a boundary relocation and his wife's health and the diet in the house started going downhill. And he says, I have to plan for it. And he brought up the family transfer. And I've learned, you know, I just said, Hey, you know, typically, you know, going to a spouse, you know, it's very, you know, that it is an evasion criteria. And he goes, Well, I'm not going to lie, you know, I don't I'm not getting rid of it. I just I'm not selling it. I'm not, you know, I don't want to give it to my daughter. And I said, OK. And so this is we're at this point right now. I hear you. Thank you. Yeah, I just I was brutally honest and Doug was honest, too. And I and our conversation with Matt, you know, it helped sending the letter and whatnot. Yeah. To explain Doug's stance because I learned a bunch just in the interim, I did not know a lot of this behind the scenes stuff that was going on out here, and I didn't know if that was the right place was to put it in the public record as it is now.

[00:26:13] Yeah, I didn't want to put it in the record, but I think I felt I had to in order to explain my situation. I apologize for being emotional, but I don't

[00:26:23] Mean to be emotional. Matters serious is family and we really appreciate your candor and you have our empathy. Absolutely. Ok, thank you. One. Do you have any questions?

[00:26:37] No, I had. I've driven out and looked at the the site just to get a feel for what this would look like with the boundary line relocation. And it it doesn't feel out of line. And I just appreciate the the graphic you had of the three. What was the term you used? The centers, the

[00:27:05] Activity centers,

[00:27:06] Activity centers. Yes, because that's what it when you drive through this area, this is what it feels like. I hadn't seen this graphic before, but this is I guess, what I'm getting at is what is being proposed does not seem unrealistic or out of line. And then obviously I wasn't expecting. We've read the letter and I and it's very brave of folks to be able to speak about this in a public meeting and just appreciate the explanation and also correct the family transfer is is designed to go to a spouse. But I think that given these circumstances, I do not have an issue with the family transfer going to Jean recognizing that she is responsible for your grandchildren.

[00:28:04] So I have I have some questions if if it's OK, just to throw these out there and in my notes, I use the addresses rather than tracks a record. So if that's OK, I'm just going to refer to them in that way and please correct me if I get this wrong. So right now at sixty five fifty, sir, you and your wife, Jean, that's where you all live. Is that correct? That's correct, sir. Yes. Sixty eight ninety is where Mr. Cohen lives. Yes. Yes. Yes. Sixty nine twenty is where is where Emily lives.

[00:28:38] That's correct.

[00:28:39] And the kids and the kids? Yes, thank you. And then after the family transfer, if such a thing happens. Daughter and children will still stay at sixty nine twenty. Are you and your wife will move to 68, 90?

[00:28:55] That's correct.

[00:28:56] That's correct. What will become of sixty 50?

[00:28:59] I'll sell it.

[00:29:01] Ok, so I just got to be really, really blunt with you, sir, and I am totally 100 percent empathetic to what you had to say. Family transfer is not intended to be a mechanism to create a parcel to sell. It's just not. It's it's designed to to create a parcel. So an adult family member could reside there. And you mentioned estate planning. I don't believe family transfer is meant for estate planning, either. And what I'm moved by, what you're saying is that at your age, you're not that much older than me, but. Given health conditions that you're concerned that you may pass before having this thing all buttoned up and you'd be leaving your wife and children and grandchildren in a crummy situation and you have surgery coming up? You're not going to be able to get go through the actual proper process here, which I believe is going through minor subdivision in order to tie this all up. And so the timing? Pushes us towards doing the thing that I believe is actually not the right thing, but maybe the right thing to do is to acknowledge the context we're in. Those are my thoughts. Please respond if you feel like it.

[00:30:25] Well, I didn't understand the last part, sir, I contact what

[00:30:32] You're a poet,

[00:30:34] So I'm saying using family transfer to create a parcel to sell is not the proper use of family transfer given your age and health conditions and upcoming surgery. It appears, it appears that you may pass on before getting this thing buttoned up the way it ought to be. I agree it ought to be the way you do. And so what we're talking about. You might not get is, is it not working?

[00:30:59] Audio why it was cutting out there for a second?

[00:31:03] I'm sorry. Oh. Now, everybody else is going to be victimized by me repeating. So just shout it out if I fade out here, but I'm saying I, I do not believe that the using family transfer as a mechanism to create a parcel to sell is the is the intended purpose of family transfer. And if you were to say, Oh, sixty five fifty, nothing's happening with it, we're not doing anything, but you said you're going to sell it and that is creating a parcel to sell. That's not the goal of family transfer. The family transfer is, as I understand it is intended to create a parcel so an adult child or other family member could live there.

[00:31:43] Ok, but the problem for me, I mean,

[00:31:46] Let me get the rest of this out. Ok, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. So the problem here is that your age and health condition makes it appear that you may not live long enough to actually go through minor subdivision and do this the proper way, and you have upcoming heart surgery. So what I'm seeing right now is that we're going to do the wrong thing, which is use family transfer to do the right thing, which is make sure your family's needs are taken care of. And that does make me feel a little bit uneasy as a public official, and it makes me feel better as a person. And I'm not going to say this again. If it didn't work, that's better.

[00:32:24] Yeah. Thank you, sir. Thank you, sir. I understand your analysis and I assure you I am not doing this for any other reason. And then and I've been delinquent and not getting some some of this done earlier. I know that. But but it just you never know what's going to reach up and grab you. I mean, that's that's

[00:32:42] What I hear you. I mean, the reality of this is. Your daughter could stay at sixty nine twenty and you could move to sixty nine sixty eight ninety and Mr Coward could move away, all that could happen without going through family transfer. You own all these houses, you can move anybody where you want. The only reason it appears that family transfer is necessary is because you're concerned, and rightly so, that you may pass and. The needs of your family will not be taken care of, and if you were fifty five and said this, I would say you've got to go

do family. You've got to go do minor subdivision. Or even if you said you're 77 and hale and hearty and doing great, I would say go through. Go through minor subdivision, but with upcoming surgery and advanced age and all the rest of it, I feel like bending the rules is actually the right thing to do. But I want to acknowledge it's bending the rules. Well, thank you, sir. Thank you. John Hart, are we going to be sued? Is Alan McCormack going to kick my butt into Idaho if we're doing this?

[00:33:45] I don't think so. You know, the the exemption from subdivision review for a family transfer does specifically recognize the right to transfer to a spouse. So there isn't anything there is anything wrong with that. And I think Josh, the the circumstances that Mr. Shelford is presented to the commission is perfectly appropriate for the direction that you're headed.

[00:34:10] Thank you, sir. Looks like w are ready for a motion Juan.

[00:34:15] Ok. You use a lot more words than I did, but I

[00:34:20] It's only say it four times.

[00:34:22] I appreciate it. Thank you. So I motioned that the request of Douglas G Skjelset set to utilize the boundary line relocation exemption as presented in the exemption application and affidavit be approved, and that the request by Douglas G. Let Skjelset set to utilize a family transfer exemption to create and transfer one parcel to his spouse, Gene A Skjelset set as presented in the exemption application affidavit. Be approved.

[00:34:56] Thank you.

[00:34:57] I.

[00:35:02] Thank thank you very much.

[00:35:03] Thanks, Matt, for doing this and thanks, folks, for coming in and really.

[00:35:09] And my wife will be very happy. Thank you.

[00:35:12] Yeah, thank you and good luck in June.

[00:35:16] Thank you. Do we have any other business for us today? All right, seeing that, we're all done.

[00:35:28] Ok, thanks, Violet. Wow, that was.